

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

REC'D 2 AUG 1999

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION WREPORTPCT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)



	FOR FURTHER ACT	ION Prelimina	fication of Transmittal of International ary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
A018PCT	International filing date (day	y/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
nternational application N	16/04/1998		18/04/1997
PCT/US98/07587			
nternational Patent Class C12N15/62	ification (IPC) or national classification and IPC		
Applicant	•		
BIOGEN, INC. et al.			
and is transmitted	to the applicant according to a second		International Preliminary Examining Authority
☐ This report is	nsists of a total of 6 sheets, including this also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. she ed and are the basis for this report and/or 16 and Section 607 of the Administrative	ets of the descri	iption, claims and/or drawings which have ng rectifications made before this Authority ler the PCT).
(see Rule 70	1.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative		
These annexes	consist of a total of sheets.		•
3. This report conta	ains indications relating to the following ite	ms:	
	ains indications relating to the following iter is of the report	ms:	
∣ ⊠ Bas	is of the report		step and industrial applicability
I ⊠ Bas II ⊠ Pric	is of the report rity n-establishment of opinion with regard to n	ovelty, inventive	
I ⊠ Bas II ⊠ Pric	is of the report rity n-establishment of opinion with regard to n	ovelty, inventive	
I ⊠ Bas II ⊠ Pric	is of the report  ority  n-establishment of opinion with regard to n  k of unity of invention	ovelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability  y, inventive step or industrial applicability;
I ⊠ Bas II ⊠ Pric III □ Nor IV □ Lac V ⊠ Rea cita	is of the report ority n-establishment of opinion with regard to n k of unity of invention asoned statement under Article 35(2) with tions and explanations suporting such sta-	ovelty, inventive regard to novelt tement	
I 🖾 Bas II 🖾 Pric III 🗆 Nor IV 🗀 Lac V 🖾 Rea cita VI 🖾 Cer	is of the report  ority  n-establishment of opinion with regard to n  k of unity of invention  asoned statement under Article 35(2) with tions and explanations suporting such state  rtain documents cited  rtain defects in the international application	ovelty, inventive regard to novelt tement	
I 🖾 Bas II 🖾 Pric III 🗆 Nor IV 🗀 Lac V 🖾 Rea cita VI 🖾 Cer	is of the report ority n-establishment of opinion with regard to n k of unity of invention asoned statement under Article 35(2) with tions and explanations suporting such sta	ovelty, inventive regard to novelt tement	
I 🖾 Bas II 🖾 Pric III 🗆 Nor IV 🗀 Lac V 🖾 Rea cita VI 🖾 Cer	is of the report  ority  n-establishment of opinion with regard to n  k of unity of invention  asoned statement under Article 35(2) with tions and explanations suporting such state  rtain documents cited  rtain defects in the international application	ovelty, inventive regard to novelt tement	
I 🖾 Bas II 🖾 Pric III 🗆 Nor IV 🗀 Lac V 🖾 Rea cita VI 🖾 Cer	is of the report ority n-establishment of opinion with regard to n k of unity of invention asoned statement under Article 35(2) with tions and explanations suporting such sta- rtain documents cited rtain defects in the international application rtain observations on the international app	ovelty, inventive regard to novelty tement	
I 🖾 Bas II 🖾 Pric III 🗆 Nor IV 🗆 Lac V 🖾 Rea cita VI 🖾 Cer VII 🗆 Ce	is of the report ority n-establishment of opinion with regard to n k of unity of invention asoned statement under Article 35(2) with tions and explanations suporting such sta- rtain documents cited rtain defects in the international application rtain observations on the international app	ovelty, inventive regard to novelty tement	etion of this report 2 7, 07, 99
I 🖾 Bas II 🖾 Price III Nor IV Lac V 🖾 Reacita VI 🖾 Cer VIII 🖾 Cer VIII 🖾 Cer III III III III III III III III III II	is of the report  ority n-establishment of opinion with regard to not keep of invention asoned statement under Article 35(2) with tions and explanations suporting such states and decuments cited that in defects in the international application of the international application of the demand.  The demand the demand the demand the demand the international application of the international application of the international application of the demand the demand the demand the demand the demand the international application of the internation of the international application of the international	ovelty, inventive regard to novelty tement	etion of this report 2 7, 07, 99

Telephone No. (+49-89) 2399 8709

Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465

Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

D-80298 Munich

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US98/07587

			the report	
1.	resp	onse	to an invitation	rawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in on under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to o not contain amendments.):
	Des	cripti	ion, pages:	
	1-61	l		as originally filed
	Clai	ims, I	No.:	
	1-21	1		as originally filed
0	Tha	omo	ndments have	e resulted in the cancellation of:
۷.	me	anie	Harrierits Have	S (CSUILCU III III CUITCUITU III III III III III III III III III
		the o	description,	pages:
			claims,	Nos.:
		the (	drawings,	sheets:
3.		This cons	report has be sidered to go	een established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):
4.	Add	ditiona	al observatior	is, if necessary:
11.	. Pri	ority		
1	. 🗆	This	s report has b scribed time li	een established as if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to fumish within the mit the requested:
			copy of the	earlier application whose priority has been claimed.
			translation o	f the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.
2	. 🗆		s report has b en found inval	een established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has id.

Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US98/07587

3. Additional observations, if necessary:

see separate sheet

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes:

Claims 4, 14-21

No:

Claims 1-3, 5-13

Inventive step (IS)

Yes:

Claims none

No:

o: Claims 1-21

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes:

Claims 1-13

No:

Claims 14-21 (reserved opinion)

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

### VI. Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

## VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

### II. PRIORITY

This first preliminary written opinion has been established considering the priority date 18.04.97 as a valid date. The attention of the Applicant is drawn to the fact 1) that document:

US 5693607 A published 02.12.97

not cited in the international search report, may become relevant after consideration of the priority document which is unavailable at present.

# V. REASONED STATEMENT UNDER ARTICLE 35(2)

- The present application relates to fusion proteins comprising part of the receptor for the transforming growth factor- $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ) and part of an immunoglobulin (IgG). 2) The generated fusion protein comprises the extracellular domain of the type II receptor of TGF- $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ RII) and the constant region (Fc) of IgG. Said fusion proteins are intended to inhibit the binding of TGF- $\beta$  to its receptor, thus, having an application as TGF-  $\!\beta$  antagonists in fibroproliferative disorders such as diabetic nephropathy and human mesangial proliferative glomerulonephritis.
- The subject-mater of Claim 1 is not novel as required by Article 33(2) PCT. Said claim relates to a fusion protein comprising a part of a TGF- $\beta$  receptor, said 3) fusion protein has the technical effect of inhibiting the binding of TGF-  $\!\beta$  to TGF-  $\!\beta$ receptor.

Document D1: OKADOME T ET AL: J BIOL CHEM, DEC 9 1994, 269 (49) P30753-6, discloses a fusion protein, called T $\beta$ R-2.1, comprising the extracellular domain of TGF- $\!\beta$  receptor II and the intracellular domain of TGF- $\!\beta$  receptor I. Said fusion protein is capable of binding the ligand TGF- $\beta$  (p. 30754) and thus, it is capable of inhibiting the binding of said ligand to its native receptor, the TGF-  $\!\beta$ receptor. Hence the subject-matter of Claim 1 is not novel. Similarly, the subjectmatter of Claims 2, 5, 6, 8-13 is not novel either.

The subject-matter of Claim 3 relates to a fusion protein comprising the extracellular fragment of TGF- $\beta$  receptor type II and the constant region of an IgG. 4)

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY InterEXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

International application No. PCT/US98/07587

The document D2: WO 94 09815, published on 11.05.94 is not cited in the international search report.

Document D2 discloses a fusion of said extracellular fragment of TGF- $\beta$  receptor type II with human immunoglobulin (p. 20). Thus, the subject-matter of **Claims 3** and **7** is not novel as required by Article 33(2) PCT.

The subject-matter of Claim 4 relates to a fusion protein comprising the extracellular fragment of TGF-β receptor type II and the constant region of IgG characterized by the amino acid sequence presented as SEQ ID 8 or 9.

Document D2 discloses the provision of a fusion protein comprising the extracellular fragment of the type II receptor for TGF- $\beta$  and IgG as an antagonist for TGF- $\beta$ .

The problem to be solved appears to be the provision of said fusion protein characterized by a defined amino acid sequence.

Document D3: WO 94 17828, 18 August 1994, discloses a fusion protein comprising VCAM and the human IgG1 heavy chain constant region characterized by the amino acid sequence SEQ ID NO 9 (p. 35). Thus, methods for the provision of fusion proteins comprising the IgG constant region and defined sequence encoding the same are available to the skilled person should he be faced with the above mentioned technical problem. Hence, the subject-matter of Claim 4 does not involve an inventive step as required by Article 33(3) PCT.

The subject-matter of Claim 14 relates to a method for lowering the levels of TGF-  $\beta$  in an individual in need thereof which comprises the use of an TGF- $\beta$  antagonist which is a fusion protein comprising the rabbit or human extracellular fragment of TGF- $\beta$  receptor type II and the constant domain of IgG characterized by the SEQ ID NOS 8 or 9.

Document D2 discloses the use of the extracellular fragment of TGF- $\beta$  receptor type II in treating medical conditions associated with TGF- $\beta$  excess (p. 7, 10). A disclosed mode of administration of said fragment is a fusion of said fragment with

1

human immunoglobulin (p. 20).

The problem to be solved is the provision of a method of treating a medical condition associated with TGF- $\beta$  excess wherein the antagonist of TGF- $\beta$  is a fusion protein with defined amino acid sequence.

Document D3 discloses methods of construction fusion protein comprising IgG constant region as well as defined sequences thereof. Thus, the person skilled in the art seeking to solve the above mentioned technical problem will follow the teachings of document D2 in providing an antagonist of TGF-β in combination with teachings of document D3 of how to construct said antagonist. Thus, the subject-matter of Claims 14-21 do not involve an inventive step as required by Article 33(3) PCT.

7) For the assessment of the present Claims 14-21 as far as they are directed to a method of treatment of the human or animal body or to a diagnostic method practised on the human or animal body, no unified criteria exist in the PCT, on the question whether they are industrially applicable. The patentability can be dependent upon the formulation of the claims.

## VI. CERTAIN DOCUMENTS CITED

8) The following document is cited under Rule 70.10 PCT US 5 693 607 published 02.12.97 and filed on 22.12.94

# VIII. CERTAIN OBSERVATIONS ON THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION

- 9) The subject-matter of Claim 9 is not clear as required by Rule 6 PCT because the SEQ ID NOS 10 or 12 do not encode an isolated polynucleotide according to Claim 8.
- 10) Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8-10, 13-17 do not meet the requirements of Rule 6 for clarity.

  The term TGF should appear in full name, at least once, with the abbreviated form next to it, in brackets.

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU
PCT	То:
101	
NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION	United States Patent and Trademark
HOTHIOMION ELECTION	Office (C)
(PCT Rule 61.2)	(Box PCT) Crystal Plaza 2
	Washington, DC 20231
	ÉTATS-UNIS D'AMÉRIQUE
Date of mailing (day/month/year)	in its capacity as elected Office
11 December 1998 (11.12.98)	
International application No.	Applicant's or agent's file reference A018PCT
PCT/US98/07587	
International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 18 April 1997 (18.04.97)
16 April 1998 (16.04.98)	16 April 1557 (10.0 4.07)
Applicant	
GOTWALS, Philip et al	
1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election mad	e:
X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary	
	1998 (18.11.98)
in a notice effecting later election filed with the Inter	national Bureau on:
III a flotice effecting factor electrons	
<del></del>	
2. The election X was	i e
was not	
made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority	date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under
Rule 32.2(b).	
	Authorized officer
The International Bureau of WIPO	Authorized officer  E. Huchon
34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	E. Hudhon

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

# TENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING	AUTHORITY	, F	PCT
BIOGEN, Inc. Attn. WARREN, A. 14 Cambridge Center Cambridge, Ma 02142 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	BIOGEN, INC.	THE INTERNATION OR THE	NOF TRANSMITTAL OF IONAL SEARCH REPORT HE DECLARATION  CT Rule 44.1)
	<b>.</b> .	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 01/1	0/1998
Applicant's or agent's file reference A018PCT		FOR FURTHER ACTION	See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No. PCT/US 98/07587	1	International filing date (day/month/year) 16/0	)4/1998
Applicant BIOGEN, INC. et al.			
Where? Directly to the Internatio 34, chem	hes, toamend the claims he amendments is normality; however, for more detained hal Bureau of WIPO in des Colombettes heva 20, Switzerland No.: (41-22) 740.14.35 he the notes on the accompand in the International Search	of the International Application y 2 months from the date of tra ails, see the notes on the acco	n (see Rule 46): Insmittal of the mpanying sheet.
applicants's request to forward	lecision thereon has beer d the texts of boththe pro	transmitted to the Internationatest and the decision thereon t	al Bureau together with the to the designated Offices.
		licant will be notified as soon a	is a decision is made.
4. Further action(s): The applicant is rer  Shortly after 18 months from the priority If the applicant wishes to avoid or post priority claim, must reach the Internation completion of the technical preparation Within 19 months from the priority date,	date, the international appone publication, a notice and Bureau as provided as for international publication and demand for internation	in Rules 90 <i>bis</i> .1 and 90 <i>bis</i> .3, ration.	espectively, before the
Within 19 months from the phonty date, wishes to postpone the entry into the number of the priority date, before all designated Offices which ha priority date or could not be elected be	the applicant must perfo	rmthe prescribed acts for entry e demand or in a later election	v into the national phase
Name and mailing address of the Internation  European Patent Office, P.B. 5  NL-2280 HV Rijswijk  Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31  Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	818 Patentlaan 2	Authorized officer  Barbara Klaver	Entered Jonephter

#### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

## INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international phulication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

#### What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

#### When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

#### Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been is filed, see below.

#### How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

#### What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the International application is French, the letter must be in French.

p F

#### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim reptaces one or more claims as filed;
- the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

#### The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- 1. [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: \*Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- 2. [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]: "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
  - "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added.\*

#### "Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

#### tt must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

#### Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

#### Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

## **TENT COOPERATION TREATY**

# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

	(PCT Afficie 16 and fidies 46 and 47)	NOTED BY
pplicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER see Notification of (Form PCT/ISA/2)	of Transmittal of International Search Report 20) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
018PCT nternational application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
CT/US 98/07587	16/04/1998	18/04/1997
Applicant Of Son		
TAGEN THE of ol		
BIOGEN, INC. et al.		
This International Search Report has be according to Article 18. A copy is being	een prepared by this International Searching Aut transmitted to the International Bureau.	thority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consist X It is also accompanied by a co	opy of each prior art document cited in this repor	t.
1. X Certain claims were found o	unsearchable(see Box I).	
2. Unity of invention is lacking	g(see Box II).	
3. The international application international search was carr	contains disclosure of a nucleotide and/or aminitied out on the basis of the sequence listing	no acid sequence listing and the
χ f	iled with the international application.	
f	urnished by the applicant separately from the int	ternational application,
	but not accompanied by a statement to matter going beyond the disclosure in the	the effect that it did not include he international application as filed.
	Transcribed by this Authority	-
	the text is approved as submitted by the applica	
X	the text has been established by this Authority to	o read as follows:
TYPE II TGF-BETA RE	CEPTOR / IMMUNOGLOBULIN CONS	STANT REGION FUSION PROTEINS
5. With regard to the abstract,	t who do the spalica	ant
	the text is approved as submitted by the application the text has been established, according to Rule text has been established, according to Rule text has been established.	28 2/h) by this Authority as it appears in
	Box III. The applicant may, within one month from Search Report, submit comments to this Author	omaile date of memory
6. The figure of the drawings to be	published with the abstract is:	Name of the figures
6. The figure of the <b>drawings</b> to be	as suggested by the applicant.	None of the figures.
		e.

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

national application No.

PCT/US 98/07587

Box I Observati ns where certain claims were found uns archable (Continuation of item 1 of first sh et)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. X Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Remark: Although claim 14-21 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

'onal Application No

PLI/US 98/07587 A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 6 C12N15/62 C07K A61K38/17 C07K14/71 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC **B. FIELDS SEARCHED** Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) C12N C07K A61K IPC 6 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Relevant to claim No. Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Category ° 1,2,5,6, OKADOME T ET AL: "Distinct roles of the X 8-13 intracellular domains of transforming growth factor-beta type I and type II receptors in signal transduction." J BIOL CHEM, DEC 9 1994, 269 (49) P30753-6, XP002077575 UNITED STATES 3,4,7, see the whole document 14-21 3,4,7, WO 94 17828 A (BIOGEN INC ; BURKLY LINDA C 14-21 (US)) 18 August 1994 see claims 1-17; figure 8; example 5 Patent family members are listed in annex. Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document published after the international filing date

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed
- or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

Date of mailing of the international search report

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of theinternational search

01/10/1998

#### Name and mailing address of the ISA

22 September 1998

Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,

#### Authorized officer

Nauche, S

#### INTERMATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

In' ional Application No
PCI/US 98/07587

	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
<b>A</b> .	ANDERS RA ET AL: "Chimeric granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor/transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta) receptors define a model system for investigating the role of homomeric and heteromeric receptors in TGF-beta signaling."  J BIOL CHEM, SEP 6 1996, 271 (36) P21758-66, XP002077576 UNITED STATES see the whole document	1-21
A	WO 96 26964 A (PROTEIN DESIGN LABS INC ;IOWA IMMUNOTHERAPY INVESTIGATO (US)) 6 September 1996 see the whole document	3,4,7,
		·

### INTERM TIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Info. on patent family members

Int onal Application No PC 1/US 98/07587

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)			Publication date	
WO 9417828	A	18-08-1994	AT AU AU CA DE DE EP ES	161730 T 687790 B 6237994 A 6984698 A 2155303 A 69407758 D 69407758 T 0682529 A 2114183 T	e e	15-01-1998 05-03-1998 29-08-1994 23-07-1998 18-08-1994 12-02-1998 27-08-1998 22-11-1995 16-05-1998	
WO 9626964	 A	 06-09-1996	JP NZ  AU CA EP	8508719 T 262615 A 		17-09-1996 27-02-1996  18-09-1996 06-09-1996 17-12-1997	



## **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER see Notificatio	n of Transmittal of International Search Report A/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
A018PCT	ACTION	
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/US 98/07587	16/04/1998	18/04/1997
Applicant		
BIOGEN, INC. et al.		
This International Search Report has be according to Article 18. A copy is being t	en prepared by this International Searching / ransmitted to the International Bureau.	Authority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consist  X It is also accompanied by a co	s of a total of4 sheets.  py of each prior art document cited in this rep	port.
1. χ Certain claims were found u	nsearchable(see Box I).	- ·
2. Unity of invention is lacking	(see Box II).	•
international search was carried X file	ontains disclosure of a <b>nucleotide and/or a</b> red out on the basis of the sequence listing ed with the international application.  In the discrepance of a nucleotide and/or are already and one of the sequence of the applicant separately from the a	
	but not accompanied by a statement matter going beyond the disclosure in	to the effect that it did not include the international application as filed.
Т	ranscribed by this Authority	
4. With regard to the title,	ne text is approved as submitted by the applic	cant
	ne text has been established by this Authority	to read as follows:
TYPE II TGF-BETA REC	EPTOR / IMMUNOGLOBULIN CON	STANT REGION FUSION PROTEINS
t t	ne text is approved as submitted by the appli ne text has been established, according to R sox III. The applicant may, within one month search Report, submit comments to this Auth	ule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in from the date of mailing of this International
	ublished with the abstract is: as suggested by the applicant. because the applicant failed to suggest a figure better characterizes the in	



ternational application No.

PCT/US 98/07587

Box   Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. X Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Remark: Although claim 14-21 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 6 C12N15/62 C07K14/71 A61K38/17 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC **B. FIELDS SEARCHED** Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) C12N C07K A61K TPC 6 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Relevant to claim No. Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Category ° 1,2,5,6, OKADOME T ET AL: "Distinct roles of the X 8-13 intracellular domains of transforming growth factor-beta type I and type II receptors in signal transduction." J BIOL CHEM, DEC 9 1994, 269 (49) P30753-6, XP002077575 UNITED STATES 3,4,7, see the whole document 14-21 3,4,7, WO 94 17828 A (BIOGEN INC ; BURKLY LINDA C 14-21 (US)) 18 August 1994 see claims 1-17; figure 8; example 5 Patent family members are listed in annex. Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention "E" earlier document but published on or after the international cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to filing date involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention which is cited to establish the publication date of another cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the citation or other special reason (as specified) document is combined with one or more other such docu-"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled other means in the art. document published prior to the international filing date but "&" document member of the same patent family later than the priority date claimed Date of mailing of the international search report Date of the actual completion of theinternational search 01/10/1998 22 September 1998 Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Nauche, S Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,

Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

2

## INTERATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

ational Application No
PCT/US 98/07587

	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	ANDERS RA ET AL: "Chimeric granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor/transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta) receptors define a model system for investigating the role of homomeric and heteromeric receptors in TGF-beta signaling."  J BIOL CHEM, SEP 6 1996, 271 (36) P21758-66, XP002077576 UNITED STATES see the whole document	1-21
<b>A</b>	WO 96 26964 A (PROTEIN DESIGN LABS INC ;IOWA IMMUNOTHERAPY INVESTIGATO (US)) 6 September 1996 see the whole document	3,4,7,
	·	
	•	
•		

## INTERESTIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

PCT/US 98/07587

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	f	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9417828	A	18-08-1994	AT	161730 T	15-01-1998
	• •		AU	687790 B	05-03-1998
			AU	6237994 A	29-08-1994
			AU	6984698 A	23-07-1998
			CA	2155303 A	18-08-1994
			DE	69407758 D	12-02-1998
			DE	69407758 T	27-08-1998
			ΕP	0682529 A	22-11-1995
			ES	2114183 T	16-05-1998
			JР	8508719 T	17-09-1996
			NZ	262615 A	27-02-1996
WO 9626964	 A	06-09-1996	 AU	5090496 A	18-09-1996
110 2020301	• •		CA	2212750 A	06-09-1996
			EP	0812333 A	17-12-1997

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 98/07587

Box I	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:	
1. X	Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority. namely:  Remark: Although claim 14-21 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
2.	Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out. specifically:
3.	Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
	ernational Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
1.	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invitepayment of any additional fee.
3.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4.	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark	The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.